

Unified States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,912	02/28/2002		Robert D.P. Hei	163.1440USU1	1677
23552	7590	03/12/2004		EXAMI	NER
MERCHAN		OULD PC	PUTTLITZ, KARL J		
	P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1621	
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	
					$/ \wedge$
					U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, é							
Office Action Commons	10/086,912	HEI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	-t-b 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Oc	<u> </u>						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Cicotion requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification or existence of the specification or existence of the specification application has been recommended to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) D Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statements filed May 28, 2002 and November 10, 2003 have been considered. Acknowledgment of the IDS's are attached.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The outstanding rejection under this section has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection to claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/67213 to SOLVAY (WO 213) is maintained. All statements under this section set forth in the previous Office Action are incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, Applicant's arguments filed October 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that, contrary to the compositions taught by WO 213, the instant compositions comprise a C_2 or higher alcohol. See response, pages 7 and 8. The examiner disagrees.

The claims invention, as amended, is drawn to a composition comprising an ester peroxycarboxylic acid and about 0.5 wt-% to about 80 wt-% C_2 or higher alcohol. Specifically, the C_2 or higher alcohols are set forth in claim 2.

As set froth in the previous Office Action, WO 213 suggests the claimed composition within the meaning of § 103. Specifically, the claimed composition is suggested by Example 3, comprising esters of peracids from a starting material of ethanol. The reference also teaches that up to 10 % wt of the ethanol is residual in the

final composition. The examiner submits that the remaining elements of the dependant claims are also taught or suggested by WO 213. Accordingly, all of the elements of the claimed invention are taught or suggested by WO 213.

Page 3

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (703) 306-5821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (alternate).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (703) 308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,912

Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Karl J. Puttlitz
Assistant Examiner

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Biotechnology and Organic Chemistry

Art Unit 1621 703-308-4532
